

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Onited States Fatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F. S. apr. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 2/313-1450 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/488,971	01/21/2000	William J. Baer	STL000015US1	5172
23373 7590 07/13/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	*****			
0.555 - 4 - 4' 0	09/488,971	BAER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William L. Bashore	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (In Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	Anril 2007					
,— · ·	is action is non-final.					
,		s prosecution as to th	e merits is			
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in App fority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3, 8-10, 15-17, 40-41, 22-24, 26, 28-29, 32-33, 36-37, 40-41, drawn to Database
 Schema/Generating Database, classified in class 707, subclass 102.
 - II. Claims 4-7, 11-14, 18-21, 23, 25, 27-29, 30-31, 34-35, 38-39, 42-43, drawn to DocumentProcessing/Presentation/Layout, classified in class 715, subclass 517.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are as follows:

Invention I is substantially directed to manipulating a hierarchical arrangement of containers in a data repository, therefore said invention is substantially directed to Database structure. Invention II is substantially directed to arrangement via an "outline", therefore directing invention II towards Document Presentation and Layout.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2176

j

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on (571) 272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,971 Page 4

Art Unit: 2176

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 7, 2007